



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_9156
Title of the Manuscript:	Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points for the Production of Kunun zaki from Millet.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Unfortunately it seems as if corrections were done hastily without due diligence. The manuscript still requires revision. I request that the whole manuscript should be read carefully before re-submission. Introduction Line 96.- The definitive statement “the final product is contaminated” should be amended to read “the final product is susceptible to contamination”. Line 98- Insert the text“ in previous studies” between the words “recorded “ and “includes”. Materials and Methods Line 63. The appropriate reference should be cited. Line 64. The appropriate reference should be cited. Results and Discussion Section 3.1 is poorly written and needs extensive revision. The sentence construction and the logical flow of the supporting arguments (evidence from other literature) in the discussion could be improved. I have highlighted some, but not all the points that need addressing. Line 81. How did these organisms confirm the research carried out by Amusa and Odunbaku. Did you isolate the same organisms as the authors in question? Proper citation is also required when authors are directly referred to in the sentence. They should be included in the actual text. The sentence should read “These organisms isolated confirmed the research carried out by Amusa and Odunbaku (5)”. A similar mistake in citing references is made in line 98 and 02 of section 3.3. Section 3.2 Authors did not take heed of comment No 4 in the Results and Discussion section of my initial review. A distinction has to be made between stages of processing and samples. As a result the whole of section 3.2 has to be rewritten to make it clearer. Examples Line 23 could be re-cast to read “ Lactic acid bacteria in the four locations of the study were not plated for at stage A of processing, however other organisms were isolated” Line 23 could read “ When the grains were steeped in water at stage A, a gradual increase and appearance of Lactic acid bacteria was observed across all samples” Table 3 The data in the columns of the table 3 could be aligned to the left to make them all fall in line under the right heading. Section 3.3 Line 12- Start a new sentence after samples. It could read “This would serve to lower total solids and moisture content when compared to the samples from Mgbougba’s that lacked	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

sweet potatoes in the preparation process, hence.....”

Figure 2. Stages A to I could be used in Figure 2 as they outline the same process.

Conclusion

Line 50- Delete the text “be applied at all the stages of the production process”.

Acknowledgement

Line 56 spacing between “theiradvice” so that it reads “their advice”.

Note: Anonymous Reviewer