

Multiple Antimicrobial Resistance in *Vibrio* spp isolated from River and Aquaculture Water Sources in Imo State, Nigeria.

Chikwendu, C. I.*¹, S. N. Ibe² and G. C. Okpokwasili²

¹Department of Microbiology, School of Science, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 1529 Owerri, Imo State. ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Rivers State.

ABSTRACT

Aim: To study multiple antimicrobial resistance in *Vibrio* spp. isolated from river and aquaculture water sources in Imo State Nigeria.

Methodology: A total of 157 *Vibrio* isolates from river and aquaculture water sources were analysed for multiple antimicrobial resistance during a 6 month period. Antimicrobial resistance profile was determined by the Kirby-Bauer technique, while the phenotypic expression of β -lactamase production was performed by the double disk diffusion method. PCR was used to screen isolates for the presence of β -lactamase resistance genes.

Results: The isolates from river water expressed high resistance rates (81.3 to 97.8%) to the following antimicrobials: mezlocillin, doxycycline, tetracycline, carbenicillin and ampicillin, while resistance rate to kanamycin was moderate at 40.9%. Resistance rates for the aquaculture water Isolates were also high for the same antibiotics as the river water isolates, while resistance rate to kanamycin was low to moderate at 32.8%. Phenotypic screening of isolates for ES β L production showed the isolates were resistant to β -lactam antimicrobials and the β -lactamase inhibitor of amoxicillin/clavulnic acid combination. Gel electrophoresis of PCR products showed amplification for *bla*_{TEM} of size 964bp.

Conclusion: Results showed the presence of highly resistant *Vibrio* isolates from the sampled environmental sources. The presence of resistance markers among the isolates in this study infers that they could be agents of transfer of resistance to other bacterial pathogens found in river and aquaculture water.

Keywords: *Vibrio* sp., *bla*_{TEM}, β -lactamase, antimicrobial resistance

*Corresponding author

Tel. 2348033186717

E-mail: chinwechikwendu@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Bacterial pathogens, once susceptible to antimicrobial agents are becoming increasingly resistant. In addition, hospitals are now facing problems caused by new opportunistic pathogens (from non-clinical environments) that show little susceptibility to antibiotics [1, 2]. Increasing antibiotic resistance poses important risks to human health [3], and can affect the course of infectious diseases [4], increasing the danger associated with immuno suppression (e.g. in transplantation and anti-cancer chemotherapy), intubation, catheterization and other common procedures, all of which rely on antibiotics to overcome the infections with which they are commonly associated.

The emergence of bacterial resistance to antibiotics is common in areas where antibiotics are used, but antibiotic resistant bacteria also increasingly occur in aquatic environments [5, 6]. The widespread use of antibiotics in medicine and intensive animal husbandry is indicative of the selective pressure exerted on bacteria [7]. Antibiotic resistant bacteria [7, 8] and antibiotics [9] are discharged in various amounts in the environment as a result of the increasing and often indiscriminate use of antibiotics in medical, veterinary and agricultural practices.

River waters are the main receptacles for these pollutants, since they receive the sewage of urban effluents. As river bodies are one of the major sources of water directly or indirectly for human consumption, this pollution may contribute to the maintenance and even the spread of bacterial antibiotic resistance [10]. The presence of antibiotics in the aquatic environment can result in the appearance of resistance among human pathogens forming part of its micro biota [11]. Consequently, bacteria resistant to antibiotics have been found in surface water [12, 13]. In addition, bacteria with intrinsic or acquired resistance to antibiotics have commonly been found in aquatic environments, where *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia* and *Aeromonas* are commonly identified [14, 15].

Vibrios are natural inhabitants of aquatic environments with high salinity and temperatures ranging from 10 to 30°C [16, 17]. Several species, including *V. harveyi*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. alginolyticus*, *V. anguillarum* and *V. splendidus*, are known to induce severe infections in aquaculture livestock, especially shrimp [18]. Several vibrios are also important human pathogens. *Vibrio cholerae* causes cholera and *V. parahaemolyticus* can cause gastroenteritis in humans following consumption of contaminated sea food [19]. Other vibrios that have been implicated in diseases of humans include: *V. mimicus*, *V. vulnificus*, *V. hollisae* and *V. fluvialis* that also cause acute gastroenteritis when contaminated sea foods

are consumed. Others include *V. alginolyticus* and *V. damsela*. These cause eye sore or wound infections after exposure to sea water [20].

Chemicals and antibiotics are widely used to prevent or treat such infections. However, according to Nogueira-Lima et al. [21] evaluating the risks associated with the use of chemicals in aquaculture is difficult due to the lack of quantitative data from most countries involved in this activity. Most available information on metabolization efficiency, tissue withdrawal time and environmental impact has been collected in temperate climate zones and may not apply to tropical environments and species [22].

Most research work carried out with Nigeria as case study has been on *V. cholera* isolates from clinical samples [23], and review on cholera epidemiology [24]. This study is the first account to the best of our knowledge, of analysis of antimicrobial resistance in *Vibrio* spp isolated from environmental sources in Nigeria. This study was therefore undertaken to study multiple antimicrobial resistance in *Vibrio* spp isolated from river and aquaculture water sources and also determine possible role of β -lactamase genes in identified resistance traits.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study areas

River water samples were collected from one of two rivers, Nworie River, that border Owerri metropolis in Imo State Nigeria. The river runs about a 5km course across the town. The river is exposed to intensive human and industrial activities resulting in the discharge of a wide range of pollutants, including sewage. Nworie River receives effluents from the Federal Medical Centre and Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Education Owerri, both of which lie along its course. The river also serves as a drinking water source for inhabitants when the public water supply fails. Water samples were collected from four (4) sites along the River course, from the upstream to downstream points. The aquaculture water samples were collected from private and commercial fish ponds located elsewhere in Owerri metropolis.

Sample types, processing and bacterial isolates

Water samples for analysis were collected from river water and aquaculture sources in sterile 1L sample containers. Initial bacterial isolation was done by inoculating 1ml of the samples into 5mls of sterile alkaline peptone water (Oxoid) for enrichment of *Vibrio* sp. and tubes were incubated for 24h at $28^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$. After incubation, 0.1ml of enriched samples were

spread on the dry surfaces of Thio Citrate Bile Salts Sucrose (TCBS) (Lab M) agar plates for the isolation of both sucrose and non-sucrose fermenting *Vibrio* isolates. Isolates were confirmed with API 20 NE (Bio-Mérieux,).

Antimicrobial resistant testing and screening for ESβLs

Routine antibiograms were determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke). The antibiotics assayed and their concentrations were ampicillin (10μg), mezlocillin (75μg) kanamycin (30μg), tetracycline (30μg), carbenicillin (100μg) and doxycycline (30μg) (Oxoid, Basingstoke). The results were interpreted according to the criteria established by the CLSI [25]. Phenotypic expression of ESBL production was conducted by the double disk diffusion test with the following antimicrobials: imipenem (10 μg), cefpodoxime (10 μg), cefepime (30 μg), ceftazidime (30 μg), amoxicillin +clavulanic acid (20/10μg) (MAST Diagnostics, England).

Test for beta-lactamase production

Beta-lactamase production was confirmed by the Nitrocefin test [26]. The Nitrocefin test in this study was performed using Nitrocefin discs (Mast discs™ ID) and was used as a confirmatory test for the production of beta lactamase by the *Vibrio* isolates.

PCR detection of β -lactamase genes

Bacterial chromosomal DNA was used as substrate for the PCR and specific primers for the β-lactamase genes TEM, SHV and CTX (table 1), were used for the detection of resistance genes (Table 1). PCR was performed in 25 μl of a reaction mixture containing DNA (10-200ng), 200 μM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTP) (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1X PCR Buffer, 20 pMol (each) of the primers, 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Promega) and sterile distilled water. Thermal cycling was conducted in an Eppendorf Master Cycler Gradient at an initial denaturation temperature of 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 30 amplification cycles of 1 minute at 94°C; 1 minute at (50°C for blaTEM, 54°C for blaSHV and 62°C for blaCTX), and 1 minute at 72°C. This was followed by a final extension step of 10 minutes at 72°C. The amplification product was separated on 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. One hundred (100) bp DNA ladder was used as DNA molecular weight standard.

130

131 Statistical analysis

132 Differences in results were analysed for significance using SPSS 16.0 for Windows

133

134 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

135

136 A total of 157 isolates of *Vibrio* sp. were isolated from 50 river and aquaculture water
137 samples. Three genera of vibrios as confirmed with API 20 NE were identified among the
138 environmental isolates and these included *Vibrio cholerae*, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V.*
139 *vulnificus*.

140

141 Antimicrobial resistance screening showed that the river water isolates expressed high
142 resistance rates ranging from 81.3% to 97.8% for all the antimicrobials tested except for
143 Kanamycin that recorded 40.9% (Table 2). A comparative analysis of resistance rates
144 between the vibrios isolated from river water showed that the *Vibrio* sp. expressed higher
145 resistance rates than the *V. cholerae* in 4 of the 6 antimicrobials tested. Resistance rates to
146 carbenicillin and kanamycin were higher in *V. cholerae* (Figure 1).

147

148

149 For the aquaculture isolates, a similar trend was also noticed, with high resistance rates
150 ranging between 70 and 98.4% for five of the tested antimicrobials, while resistance rates to
151 kanamycin was low to moderate at 32.8% (Table 3). Also a comparative analysis of
152 resistance among the aquaculture isolates showed higher resistance rates among the *V.*
153 *cholerae* to 4 of 6 antimicrobials tested. Resistance rate to kanamycin was higher with the *V.*
154 *cholerae* isolates than the *Vibrio* spp (Figure 2).

155

156 Generally however, the river water isolates expressed higher resistance rates than the
157 aquaculture isolates to 5 of the antimicrobials, while the aquaculture isolates expressed a
158 slightly higher resistance rate for ampicillin (Figure 3). These differences were found
159 significant ($P = .05$) at 95% confidence interval.

160

161 *Aeromonas* sp., non-cholera vibrios and *Plesiomonas shigelloides* belong to the expanding
162 group of water and food borne pathogens. They are widely distributed in aquatic
163 environments and are increasingly regarded as important pathogens of aquatic animals,
164 causing significant economic losses in the aquaculture industry worldwide. In addition, these
165 bacteria have been implicated as opportunistic pathogens mainly causing gastroenteritis in

166 humans [30]. Antibiotic resistant *Vibrio cholerae* strains are increasingly being found
167 worldwide [31, 32, 33, 34, 35].

168

169 Analysis of the antimicrobial resistance tests of the *Vibrio* spp shows that the isolates
170 presented high rates of resistance to ampicillin (97.8% and 98.4%) for both river and
171 aquaculture water samples respectively. Resistance rates to carbenicillin, tetracycline,
172 doxycycline and mezlocillin were also high at between 81% and 90.3% for both river and
173 aquaculture water samples respectively. Resistance rates to kanamycin was however
174 moderate for isolates from both environmental samples.

175

176 In similar studies, Akinbowale *et al.* [36] also found widespread resistance to ampicillin in his
177 study of aquaculture isolates and Campos *et al.* [33] reported 64% resistance to ampicillin in
178 their environmental non-O1 *Vibrio* strains. The rates of resistance in the environmental *V.*
179 *cholerae* isolates in the present work correlates with resistance rates of clinical *V. cholerae*
180 O1 strains (83%) of Campos *et al.* [33] for ampicillin. According to him one can assume that
181 some of the environmental O1 isolates with antibiotic profiles similar to clinical strains
182 represent strains that have been shed into the environment from clinical cases. The river
183 receives effluents and sometimes raw sewage from the health and academic institutions that
184 lie along its course. These wastes could be sources of resistant clinical isolates into the
185 water body, which can in turn transfer resistant characters to other bacterial species.

186

187 Total resistance rates to tetracycline at 90.3% and 87.5% for river and aquaculture water
188 isolates respectively is worrisome and of great concern because tetracycline is the antibiotic
189 of choice for the treatment of cholera [37]. Tetracycline- resistant *V. cholerae* O1 strains
190 have been responsible for major epidemics around the world [31, 38].

191

192 All the isolates from both environmental sources were resistant to at least two or more
193 antimicrobials with about 30 isolates being resistant to all 5 antimicrobials. High levels of
194 multiple resistances were exhibited by the *Vibrio* isolates in this study, which agrees with the
195 results conducted by Akinbowale *et al.* [36] and Amaro *et al.* [39]. Multiple drug resistance
196 has also been reported in a number of studies of fish pathogens and aquaculture
197 environments [40, 41, 42]. *Vibrio* spp isolated from shrimp hatcheries in Indonesia has
198 demonstrated multiple antimicrobial resistance to antimicrobials such as ampicillin,
199 tetracycline, amoxicillin and streptomycin [22, 43, 44] and Livermore [45] reported that
200 bacteria resistant to six to ten antimicrobials were common.

201

One *Vibrio* isolate from the aquaculture samples showed amplification of the *bla*_{TEM} lactamase gene at 964bp. Plasmid mediated *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{SHV} are the most common genes encoding beta-lactamases and extended-spectrum beta-lactamases, a major cause of resistance to beta-lactams, and they are increasingly being found in different settings worldwide [33, 46]. The enzymes encoded by these genes confer unequivocal resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin, ticarcillin and carbenicillin [47, 48].

208

209

210

211 Table 1: The primers used to screen for ESβL genes

212

213

Primer	Gene	Sequence/size (bp)	Reference
757(FP)	blaTEM	5'-GCGGAACCCCTATTTG-3' / 964	27
821(RP)	blaTEM	5'-TCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAACTTGGTCTGAC-3'/964	
1436(FP)	blaSHV	5'-TTCGCCTGTGTATTATCTCCCTG-3' / 854	28
1437(RP)	blaSHV	5'-TTAGCGTTGCCAGTGYTCG-3' / 854	
1354(FP)	blaCTX	5'-ATGTGCAGYACCAGTAARGTKATGGC-3' / 593	29
1355(RP)	blaCTX	5'-TGGGTRAARTARGTSACCAGAAYCAGCGG-3' / 593	

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233 Table 2: Frequency of antimicrobial resistance of *Vibrio* sp. isolated from river water.

234

235

Antibiotics	No (%) of resistant isolates					Total no. of isolates	Total resistance	%
	No. of isolates	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	No. of isolates	<i>Vibrio</i> sp				
AMP	77	75(97.4)	16	16(100)		93	91(97.8)	
CAR	77	70(90.9)	16	14(87.5)		93	84(90.3)	
TE	77	68(88.3)	16	16(100)		93	84(90.3)	
DO	77	61(79.2)	16	15(93.8)		93	76(81.7)	
MEZ	32	25(78.1)	16	14(87.5)		48	39(81.3)	
K	77	32(41.6)	16	6(37.5)		93	38(40.9)	

236 KEY: AMP, Ampicillin; CAR, carbenicillin; TE, tetracycline; DO, doxycycline; MEZ, mezlocillin; K, kanamycin

237

238

239

240 Table 3: Frequency of antimicrobial resistance of *Vibrio* sp. isolated from aquaculture water.

241


Antibiotics	No (%) of resistant isolates					Total no. of isolates	Total resistance	%
	No. of isolates	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	No. of isolates	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>				
AMP	47	46(97.9)	17	17(100)		64	63(98.4)	
TE	47	40(85.1)	17	16(94.1)		64	56(87.5)	
DO	47	34(72.3)	17	17(100)		64	51(79.7)	
CAR	47	36(76.6)	17	13(76.5)		64	49(76.6)	
MEZ	12	7(58.3)	8	7(87.5)		20	14(70.0)	
K	47	16(34.0)	17	5(29.4)		64	21(32.8)	

242

243 KEY: AMP, Ampicillin; CAR, carbenicillin; TE, tetracycline; DO, doxycycline; MEZ, mezlocillin; K, kanamycin.

244

245




246

247 Fig 1: Comparative analysis of rates of resistance between *Vibrio* sp. from river water samples. Error bars represent
248 the standard error of the mean.

249 KEY: V.ch. *Vibrio cholerae*; V. sp. *Vibrio* sp.; AMP, Ampicillin; CAR, carbenicillin; TE, tetracycline; DO, doxycycline;
250 MEZ, mezlocillin; K, kanamycin.

251

252




253

254

255

256 Fig 2: Comparative analysis of rates of resistance between *Vibrio* sp. from aquaculture water samples. Error bars
257 represent the standard error of the mean.
258 KEY: V. ch., *Vibrio cholerae*; V. sp., *Vibrio* sp.; AMP, Ampicillin; CAR, carbenicillin; TE, tetracycline; DO,
259 doxycycline; MEZ, mezlocillin; K, kanamycin.



266
267
268 Fig 3: Comparative analysis of rates of resistance between *Vibrio* sp. from the river and aquaculture water samples.
269 Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
270 KEY: Rv., river water; Aq., aquaculture water; AMP, Ampicillin; CAR, carbenicillin; TE, tetracycline; DO,
271 doxycycline; MEZ, mezlocillin; K, kanamycin.

272
273

274 4. CONCLUSION

275 The study showed the presence of multiple resistant *Vibrio* isolates as well as genes
276 encoding ESβLs in isolated species in the environmental samples studied. Non-pathogenic
277 *Vibrio* species have been found to be useful and applied as probiotics in aquaculture, and
278 thus, may pose a risk to the fish industry, with respect to the transfer and maintenance of
279 resistance traits in the fish and water environments.
280

281
282

283 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

284

285 No competing interests exist

286

287 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

288

289 Author CIC designed the protocol, carried out the laboratory analyses and wrote the first
290 draft of the manuscript. Authors SNI and GCO supervised the work, analysed the results and
291 edited the manuscript. All the authors collaborated in the final analyses of the study, read
292 and approved the final manuscript.

293

294 **REFERENCES**

295

296 1. Quinn JP. Clinical problems posed by multi resistant non-fermenting gram-negative
297 pathogens. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1998; 27(Supl. 1): s117-s124

298

299 2. Gaynes R, Edwards JR. Overview of nosocomial infections caused by gram-
300 negative bacilli. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2005; 41: 848-854.

301

302 3. Levy SB, Marshall B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and
303 responses. Nat. Med. 2004; 10: s122-s129.

304

305 4. World Health Organization (WHO). Removing obstacles for healthy development.
306 WHO, Geneva. 1999.

307

308 5. Aarestrup F, Ahrens P, Madsen M, Pallesen L, Poulsen R, Westh H. Glycopeptide
309 susceptibility among Danish *Enterococcus faecium* and *E. faecalis* isolates of
310 human and animal origin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1996; 40:1938-1940.

311

312 6. Klare I, Heier H, Claus H, Böhme G, Martin S, Seetmann S, *et al.* *Enterococcus*
313 *faecium* strains with vanA-mediated high-level glycopeptide resistance isolated from
314 animal foodstuffs and faecal samples of humans in the community. Microb. Drug
315 Resist. 1995; 1: 265-272.

316

317 7. Al-Ghazali MR, Jazrawi SF, Al-Doon ZA. Antibiotic resistance among pollution
318 indicator bacteria isolated from Al-Khair River, Baghdad. Water Res. 1988; 22: 641-
319 644.

320

- 321 8. Pathak SP, Bhattacharjee JW, Ray PK. Seasonal variation in survival and antibiotic
322 resistance among various bacterial populations in a tropical river. J. Gen. Appl.
323 Microbiol. 1993; 39: 47-56.
324
- 325 9. Halling-Sørensen B, Nors Nielsen S, Lanzky PF, Ingerslev F, Holton-Lützhøft HC,
326 Jørgensen SF. Occurrence, fate and effects of pharmaceutical substances in the
327 environment- a review. Chemosphere. 1998; 36: 357-393.
328
- 329 10. Goni-Uribe M, Capdepuy V, Arpin C, Raymond N, Caumette P, Quentin C. Impact
330 of an urban effluent on resistance of riverine Enterobacteriaceae and *Aeromonas*
331 spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2000; 66: 125-132.
332
- 333 11. Angulo FS. Antimicrobial agents in aquaculture: potential impact on health. APUA
334 Newsletter. 2000; 18: 1-6.
335
- 336 12. Schwartz T, Kohnen W, Jansen B, Obst U. Detection of antibiotic resistant bacteria
337 and their resistant genes in waste water, surface water and drinking water biofilms.
338 FEMS Microbial Ecology. 2003; 43: 325-335.
339
- 340 13. Chikwendu CI, Ibe SN, Okpokwasili GC. Detection of bla_{SHV} and bla_{TEM} beta-
341 lactamase genes in multi-resistant *Pseudomonas* isolates from environmental
342 sources. African J. Microbiol. Res. 2011; 5(15): 2067-2074.
343
- 344 14. Aubert D, Girlich D, Naas T, Nagarajan S, Nordmann P. Functional and structural
345 characterization of the genetic environment of an extended-spectrum β -lactamase
346 bla_{VEB} from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates obtained in India. Antimicrob. Agents
347 Chemother. 2004; 48:3284-3290.
348
- 349 15. Huddleston JR, Zak JC, Jeter RM. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Aeromonas* spp.
350 isolated from environmental sources. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006; 72: 7036-7042.
351
- 352 16. Manjusha S, Sarita GB, Elyas KK, Chandrasekaran M. Multiple antibiotic resistances
353 of *Vibrio* isolates from coastal and brackish water areas. Am. J. Biochem.
354 Biotechnol. 2005; 1:201–206
355

- 356 17. Murray PR, Rosenthal KS, Kobayashi GS, Pfaller MA. Medical Microbiology 4th
357 edition 2004; Harcourt Press, New York, NY pp.160–168.
358
- 359 18. Jayasree L, Janakiram P, Madhavi R. Characterization of *Vibrio* spp. associated with
360 diseased shrimp from culture ponds of Andhra Pradesh (India). J. World Aquat. Soc.
361 2006; 37: 523–532.
362
- 363 19. Wiley JM, Sherwood LM, Woolverton CJ. Prescott, Harley and Kleins's Microbiology
364 7th edn. (International edition). Mc Graw Hill, Boston, 2008; p. 557.
365
- 366 20. Brooks GF, Carrol KC, Butel JS, Morse SA. *Vibrio*, *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter*
367 and associated bacteria. In: Jawetz, Melnick and Adelberg's Medical Microbiology.
368 24th Edn. McGraw-Hill Com. (LANGE) Inc., New York 2007. Pp. 270-273.
369
- 370 21. Nogueira-Lima AC, Gesteira TCV, Mafezoli J. Oxytetracycline residues in cultivated
371 marine shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone, 1931) (Crustacea, Decapoda)
372 submitted to antibiotic treatment. Aquaculture 2006; 254: 748–757.
373
- 374 22. Rebouças RH, Viana de Sousa O, Lima AS, Vasconcelos FR, Barroso de Carvalho
375 P, Silva dos Fernandes Vieira RH. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Vibrio* species
376 isolated from marine shrimp farming environments (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) at Ceará
377 Brazil. Environ. Res. 2011; 111: 21-24.
378
- 379 23. Marin MA, Thompson CC, Freitas FS, Fonseca EL, Aboderin AO, Zailani SB, *et al.*
380 Cholera outbreaks in Nigeria are associated with multidrug resistant atypical El Tor
381 and Non-O1/Non-O139 *Vibrio cholera*. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2013;
382 7(2): 1-9.
383
- 384 24. Adagbada AO, Adesida SA, Nwaokorie FO, Niemogha M, Coker AO. Cholera
385 Epidemiology in Nigeria:an overview. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2012; 12:59
386
- 387 25. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for
388 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Seventeenth Information Supplement, 17th edn.
389
- 390
- 391

392 Approved standard M100 – S17. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards
393 institute. 2007.
394

395 26. Cheesbrough M, District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries Part 2,
396 Cambridge University Press, U.K., 2000. pp. 132-143.
397

398 27. Olesen I, Hasman H, Aarestrup FM. Prevalence of β -lactamases among ampicillin
399 resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolated from food animals in Denmark.
400 *Microb. Drug Resist.* 2004; 10: 334-340.
401

402 28. Hasman H, Mevius D, Veldman K, Olesen I, Aarestrup FM. Beta-Lactamases
403 among extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ES β -L)-resistant *Salmonella* from poultry,
404 poultry products and human patients in The Netherlands. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*
405 2005; 56: 115-121.
406
407

408 29. Miro E, Navarro F, Mirelis B, Sabaté M, Riviera A, Coll P, Prats G. Prevalence of
409 clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* producing inhibitor-resistant β -lactamases at a
410 University Hospital in Barcelona, Spain, over a 3-year period. *Antimicrob. Agents*
411 *Chemother.* 2002; 46: 3991-3994.
412
413

414 30. Chakraborty S, Garg P, Ramamurthy T, Thungapathra M, Gautam JK, Kumar C, *et*
415 *al.* Comparison of antibiogram, virulence genes, ribotypes and DNA fingerprints of
416 *Vibrio cholerae* of matching serogroups isolated from hospitalized diarrhoea cases
417 and from the environment during 1997-1998 in Calcutta. India. *J. Med. Microbiol.*
418 2001; 50: 879-888.
419

420 31. Dalsgaard A, Forslund A, Bodhidatta L, Serichantalergs O, Pitarangsi C, Pang L, *et*
421 *al.* A high proportion of *Vibrio cholerae* strains isolated from children with diarrhoea
422 in Bangkok, Thailand are multiple antibiotic resistant and belong to heterogenous
423 non-O1, non-O139 O-serotypes. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 1999; 122: 217-226.
424

425 32. Campos LC, Zahner V, Avelar KES, Alves RM, Pereira DSG, Vital Brazil JM, *et al.*
426 Genetic diversity and antibiotic resistance of clinical and environmental *Vibrio*

427 *cholerae* suggests that many serogroups are reservoirs of resistance. Epidemiol.
428 Infect. 2004; 132: 985-992

429
430 33. Garg P, Chakraborty S, Basu V, Datta S, Rajendran K, Bhattacharya T, *et al.*
431 Expanding multiple antibiotic resistance among clinical strains of *Vibrio cholera*
432 isolated from 1992-1997 in Calcutta. India. Epidemiol. Infect. 2000; 124: 393-399.
433

434 34. Hofer E, Quintaes BR, Reis EMF, Rodrigues DP, Seki LM, Feitosa IS, *et al.*
435 Emergence of multiple drug resistance in *Vibrio cholerae* isolated from patients with
436 gastroenteritis in Ceara. Brazil. Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop. 1999; 32:151-156.
437

438 35. Ismail H, Smith AM, Sooka A, Keddy KH. Genetic characterization of multidrug –
439 resistant, extended–spectrum– β -lactamase–producing *Vibrio cholerae* O1 outbreak
440 strains, Mpumalanga South Africa. 2008; J. Clin. Microbiol. 2011; 2976-2979
441

442 36. Akinbowale OH, Peng H, Barton MD. Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated
443 from aquaculture sources in Australia. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2006; 100: 1103-1113.
444

445 37. Mahlu FS, Mmasi PW, Ijumba J. Rapid emergence of El Tor *Vibrio cholerae*
446 resistant to antimicrobial agents during the first six months of the fourth cholera
447 epidemic in Tanzania. Lancet. 1979; i: 345-347.
448

449 38. Siddique AK, Zaman K, Banque AH, Akram K, Mutsuddy P, Eusof A, *et al.* Cholera
450 epidemics in Bangladesh: 1985-1991. J. Diarrhoeal Dis. Res. 1992; 10: 79-86.
451

452 39. Amaro C, Aznar R, Garay E, Alcaida E. R plasmids in environmental *Vibrio cholerae*
453 non-O1 strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1998; 54(11): 2771-2776.
454

455 40. McPherson RM, Depaola A, Zywno SR, Moles MI Jnr, Guarino AM. Antibiotic
456 resistance in gram negative bacteria from cultured catfish and aquaculture ponds.
457 Aquaculture. 1991; 99: 203-211.
458

459 41. Schmidt AS, Bruun MS, Dalsgaard I, Pedersene K, Larsen JL. Occurrence of
460 antimicrobial resistance in fish-pathogenic and environmental bacteria associated
461 with four Danish rainbow trout farms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2000; 66: 4908-4915.
462

- 463 42. Hatha M, Vivekanandam AA, Joice GJ, Christol GS. Antibiotic resistance pattern of
464 motile aeromonads from farm raised –fresh water fish. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2005;
465 98: 131-134.
466
- 467 43. Tjahjadi MR, Angka SL, Suwanto A. Isolation and evaluation of marine bacteria for
468 biocontrol of luminous bacterial disease in tiger shrimp larvae (*Penaeus monodon*,
469 Fab.). Asia Pac. J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol. 1994; 2: 347-352.
470
471
- 472 44. Miranda CD, Zemelman R. Antimicrobial multi-resistance in bacteria isolated from
473 freshwater Chilean salmon farms. Sci. Total Environ. 2002; 293: 207-218.
474
- 475 45. Livermore DM. Beta-lactamases in laboratory and clinical resistance. Clin. Microbiol.
476 Rev. 1995; 8: 557-584.
477
- 478 46. Pool K. Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 2004; 61: 2200-
479 2223.
480
- 481 47. Seetulsingh PS, Hall LM, Livermore DM. Activity of clavulanate combinations
482 against TEM-1 beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolates obtained in 1982
483 and 1989. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1991; 27: 749-759.
484
- 485 48. Wu PJ, Shannon K, Phillips I. Effect of hyperproduction of TEM-1 beta-lactamase on
486 in vitro susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* to beta-lactam antibiotics. Antimicrob.
487 Agents Chemother. 1994; 38: 494-498.
488
489
490