



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_14627
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Antibiotic Biosynthetic Potential of Actinomycetes Isolates to Produce Novel Antimicrobial Agents
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "Evaluation of antibiotic biosynthetic potential of actinomycetes isolates to produce novel antimicrobial agents" submitted in British Microbiology Research Journal is a very interesting, valuable and accurate study. However, it needs a slight revision: • If the Authors claim that the microorganisms mentioned in the last section of the Discussion (line 281-296) are well-known antibiotic producers, the appropriate references should be cited. • The same scale and font should be used for all figures. • Some sentences should be grammatically revised (e.g., lines 46-47, 135-137, 232-235, 248-250). • Correct the spelling errors, please (e.g., lines 44, 90, 143, 254; see also Abstract, please). • Use italics for Latin terms (line 38). • The phrase "the result show" should be replaced by synonyms from time to time.	The necessary citations have been added The figures have been reformatted The manuscript has been reviewed and spelling errors checked. All the corrections made are highlighted in yellow. The word has been italicized. This has been rephrased where necessary
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		