



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_15275
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentiality of Marine Streptomyces sp. AIAH-10 against Ciprofloxacin and Azithromycin Induced Resistant Escherichia coli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract written as writing the introduction of the manuscript, mention the crucial findings 2. para 2.1 line 50-51 of materials and methods wrongly states that at 60-65°C spores are removed from vegetative cell, spores can not be removed below 121°C 3. para 2.3 line 67-68 wrongly mentions that plates were examined for Zones of inhibition⁹ (how zones are produced in perpendicular cross streaking?) ; it should be length of inhibition. 4. para 2.4.1 line 73 again refers ISP criteria to grow actinomycetes at 37°C is also wrong. 5. Reference 17 para 2.4.2 line 79 does not belong to ISP. Note :the manuscript needs revisions in writing , but the quality of experimental details are not scientifically presented, even results, conclusions are not written seriously	All possible corrections are done in the manuscript and I agree with reviewer's comments
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. English language needs correction see the first 2-3 lines in of abstract	