Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BMRJ_16836**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Field and *in- vitro* studies on *Corynespora* leaf fall disease of *Hevea brasiliensis* in Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The reviewer believes the finding that C. cassiicola infected all the 24 rubber clones tested is important information. Minor suggestions: line 105:...its pathogenicity, it makes... could be revised to read: its pathogenicity, and it makes rubber clones become more... Line 180: Variation to variation	Corrections effected in manuscript. Thank you.
Optional/Generalcomments	The mss. was very "clean" except for above suggestions.	