



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17027
Title of the Manuscript:	Activity Guided Fractionation with Antimicrobial Evaluation of <i>Pergularia Tomentosa</i> L. (<i>Asclepiadacea</i>) Whole Plant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The purpose of the paper is accomplished; it brings clarifications related to the therapeutic use of <i>Pergularia tomentosa</i> L. species. There were evaluated the antimicrobial effect of different fractions against <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>E.coli</i> , and <i>Candida</i> . All fractions with the crude extract were screened for both antimicrobial and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) potentialities. The results were correlated with the chemical composition of the fractions. But the paper could require some corrections such as: Misspellings of words, punctuation rules are not respected.	Corrections effected in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		