



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17956
Title of the Manuscript:	First study of scpB gene of Streptococcus agalactiae in Misiones, Argentina
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Other gene bands or non-specific bands may be detected in only PCR methods. Because if other genes possess PCR-primer nucleotide sequences, the misamplification is successfully performed in PCR methods. If authors prove PCR products as the true scpB gene, the additional studies (1) southern blotting analysis or (2) nucleotide sequence analysis may be needed for confirmation of true genes.	Sequencing results were incorporated into the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 63: What is SGB? Figure 1: How do authors categorize? What are (A), (B), (C), and (D) meaning? Some format mistakes are found in References section.	Line 63: SGB is GBS Figure 1: Each letter identifies a photo and then each identification code number of isolates of GBS (displayed in the legend to Figure 1). We corrected the format of the references. We appreciate your input and hope to have made all the necessary corrections.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topics in this manuscript may sound interesting.	Thank you.