



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Microbiology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_18103
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical study and antimicrobial activity of bark extracts of Ceiba pentandra (L.) Gaertn. (Bombacaceae) from Côte d'Ivoire on resistant bacteria Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Poor English throughout. Also many typographical errors.</p> <p>Change the title to "Phytochemical study ... on drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus and ..."</p> <p>Lines 6-7: Among there solution tracks – privileged. (Correct the sentence)</p> <p>Lines 9-10: (Change to .. methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, and imipenem and ceftazidime resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa)</p> <p>Line 13: (Change "extracted" to "extract". (Change "studies germs" to studied bacteria)</p> <p>Change CMI and CMB to MIC and MBC throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Abbreviations should be avoided in the Abstract.</p> <p>Lines 31-32: What does it mean? Improve sentence.</p> <p>Line 36: (Change .. is use to .. "is used to..")</p> <p>Line 38: Change to Adebayo-Tayo et al [9]</p> <p>Line 60: Change "Referenced" to "Reference"</p> <p>Line 63: Delete "in an oven", and change "young colonies" to "fresh colonies"</p> <p>Lines 65-77: Improve/correct sentences.</p> <p>Line 89: Delete "micro"</p> <p>Line 101-102: Add <i>et al</i> after author's name</p> <p>Line 115: "CMI's one are transplanted.. " ????</p> <p>Line 117: "germs": This term is not scientific. Also used in lines 127, 137, 157. Sentences need to be corrected as well.</p> <p>Line 155: Change CMB/CMI to MBC/MIC. Similar change need to be done in Table 2.</p>	<p>All your proposals and suggestions were taken into account.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p><b>Line 156: Change “bactericide” to “bactericidal”. Sentences following Table need improvement.</b></p> <p><b>Table 3: Change terms in French to English.</b></p> <p><b>Line 184: Change “studies” to “studied”.</b></p> <p><b>Lines 199-110: Is the definition for MIC correct? At higher concentrations of the extract clearing will be evident. If serial dilution was used MIC will be the concentration in the last clear tube. I am not sure of the details of the method. You may want to explain the method of Moroh [14]. Also, change Moroh to Moroh et al.</b></p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	---	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	---	