



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_14108
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between gingivitis and autism in children: A matched case-control study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In the first version of the manuscript, authors stated : « Out of the 10 other bacterial species apart from Actinomyces naeslundii that were detected in this study, Actinomyces viscosus, Propionibacterium acnes, and Actinomyces israelii were found in gingivitis while Capnocytophaga spp and Bacteroides ureolyticus were found in juvenile periodontitis in other studies (Moore L et al., 1987; Loesche W and Syed S., 1978;Baker J et al., 1976; Rummens J et al., 1986; Duerden B et al., 1987).“ I asked for more discussion about these results. In this revised version, authors choose to completely eliminate the results on bacteria analysis. Are they sure? In this form, the manuscript is easy and could be accepted, however, the results were interesting, and I was wondering if the authors would like to further insert and discuss them.	Yes, we would like to withdraw these results as still working with few more samples. We would like to extend our research on microbial analysis.