



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_15275
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentiality of Marine Streptomyces sp. AIAH-10 against Ciprofloxacin and Azithromycin Induced Resistant Escherichia coli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. The first character of the words like “Antibacterial Potential” “Ethyl-Acetate” “Extracts” “Marin” “Drug Resistant”..... Should not be capitalized when they are not the first word of the sentence. The same question of the full text should be advised 2. Present heading is well defined. 3. Due to availability and laboratory supports, you used nutrient agar media....but nutrient media is not a diffusion media....normally used media for antimicrobial activity is MHA...justify?? 4. “present study was designed to isolate 29 marine Actinomycetes strain”...here you should write present study was designed to isolate marine Actinomycetes...you can't mention here particularly 29....or you can write in this study a total of 29 actinobacterial strains were isolated. 5. Line 54- normal volume is 0.1ml ...why you used 80μl? 6. Figures for antimicrobial activity??? 7. Result- A total 29 microorganisms were isolated from different marine sediments and soil samples....”mention 29 actinobacterial colonies”.	1. It has been checked thoroughly to the text and corrected where necessary. 2. Thanks for your valuable suggestions. 3. Actually yeast extract glucose agar media is used, in simply term, we call it agar media and it was corrected in the text. Please read MNA (modified agar media) but not MHA (Please see the text). 4. You are right and it was corrected in abstract. 5. 100 μl was taken. 6. It was given in the text (Figure 1). 7. You are right and it was corrected in the text. Thank you so much for your valuable comments to enrich our manuscript.