

### **Editorial Comments:**

Editorial decision on manuscript number **2015\_BMRJ\_16757** titled **THE SERO-PREVALENCE OF HIV/AIDS AMONG PATIENTS SCREENED FROM 2008 - 2013 ATTENDING FEDERAL NEUROPSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL BARNAWA KADUNA STATE NORTH WEST NIGERIA**

The paper highlights a very interesting observation regarding predisposition of individuals with psychiatric conditions leading to harmful and sometimes fatal consequences.

I recommend some additional corrections:

The language needs revision. Certain sentences are either incomplete or are not coherent. The following are suggested:

In the abstract: under "Method" rewrite the first sentence as; This is a cross sectional study involving patients that were screened for the presence of HIV from the blood collected between 2008.....Nigeria.

In the Introduction: change last sentence to "HIV globally of which 3.14 million were from Nigeria".

The "Limitation" in statistical analysis should be moved to discussion also suggested by another reviewer.

The "Results" in the main text needs major revision in writing. Please combine the results in writing part as some sections after figure and table appear as Legends to those.

There is no Figure 1 in the paper as mentioned in results section, rename all the figures with correct annotation.

In the figures, p values that are significant between groups should be marked by an asterisk and mentioned in the legend. None of the figures have this incorporated.

There are no legends to any of the figures or tables.

Figure 4 in the text should be deleted.

Reference 2 is incomplete, reference 10 is not italicized, reference 13-15 have journal name in blue and underlined.

The font size between references differs.

### **Author's Feedback:**

Thank you so much for taking your time for making those observations i really appreciated it.

I have effected all the corrections as stated on the editors request however if there is any other one left please call on my attention I am ever willing to effect such. Honestly it seems this journal is good, I love the vigorously and timely response towards this review that is how it should be. All the correction observed I actually appreciated it. Thank you in anticipation.