



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17483
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial and drug susceptibility profiles of urinary tract infection in Diabetes Mellitus patients at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Some comments for the authors: Line 107: insert the abbreviation for Ceftriazone Table 1: the caption of the same is wrong. uropathogens isolated from pregnant..... Not all participants were women, and possible the women were not pregnant. Line 144 <i>E. coli</i> which constituted ~~for~~ 58,3% of the..... Line 184 bacteria which helps for.... Line 169 obtained from India (Pargavi <i>et al.</i>, 2011) Several sentences have not dots at the end of them. Insert the same. Hoepelman <i>et al.</i>, 2003; Gizachew <i>et al.</i>, 2012; Illamani <i>et al.</i>, 2013 are presented in the text, but do not appear in the References. Ghulam et al, 2011; Ramana,B.V.&Chaundhury,A.,2012 are presented in the References, but do not appear in the text.	Has been addressed as advised Has been addressed as advised Has been addressed as advised Has been addressed Has been addressed Has been attended to
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		