



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17483
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial and drug susceptibility profiles of urinary tract infection in Diabetes Mellitus patients at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript has discrepancies at the design and therefore it can not be published. The way that study population was chosen is not clear enough and that makes the results very weak. Were asymptomatic or did they have UTI??? Furthermore, the number of patients that was used for the analysis is too small and therefore no clinical implementation can be extracted. Study's participants clinical characteristics, history of prior antibiotic use are missing too.	Has been addressed except for the sample size which could not be adjusted since it was obtained by a standard statistical formula for cross-sectional studies and the number of isolates obtained was not influenced by sample size In the exclusion criteria, is where we examined participant's treatment history and the clinical characteristics considered were those that were to differentiate those with symptoms of UTI, and so we did not describe the participants based on this
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		