



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_18071
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of Rotavirus VP7 and VP4 Genotypes Associated with Severe Childhood Diarrhea in North West Cameroon: Detection of Unusual strains G1P[6], G2P[6], G2P[8] and G3P[6]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 20: seven structural and not sex Line 87: [13] and not (13) Line 106: a Three cases with short electropherotypes; b Short 106 electropherotype; where a and b in table 1 Put the reference 17 in the correct position in the results and discussion starting from Line 152: Line 152: [7, 13, 17] and not [7, 13, 18] Line 160: [18] and not [19] Line 166,167: [7, 13, 17] and not [7, 13, 18] Line 170: [17-19] and not [18-20] Line 172: [17, 19] and not [18, 20] Line 186: [6, 7, 13, 20] and not [6, 7, 13, 17]. Line 207: [18] and not [19] Line 215: [18] and not [19] References section: -Rearrange the last four references as the following: 17. Fischer TK, Page NA, Griffin DD, Eugen-Olsen J, Pedersenag, Valentiner-Branth P, et al. Characterization of incompletely typed rotavirus strains from Guinea Bissau: Identification of G8 and G9 types and a high frequency of mixed infections. Virology 2003; 311:125-33. 18. Mitui MT, Chandrasena TNGA, Chan PKS, Rajindrajith S, Nelson EA, et al. Inaccurate	20: Rotavirus has six (6) structural proteins and not seven: VP1-VP4 , VP6 and VP7 87: [13] accepted 106: a Three cases with short electropherotypes; b Short electropherotype where a and b are in table 1. Accepted Further, omissions of ^a and ^b in Table 1 not identified were corrected. 152: [7, 13, 17] accepted Line 160 should be [17] and not [18] as commented. After rearranging the last four references in the underlisted order 17. Fischer TK, Page NA, Griffin DD, Eugen-Olsen J, Pedersenag, Valentiner-Branth P, et al. Characterization of incompletely typed rotavirus strains from Guinea Bissau: Identification of G8 and G9 types and a high frequency of mixed infections. Virology 2003; 311:125-33. 18. Mitui MT, Chandrasena TNGA, Chan PKS, Rajindrajith S, Nelson EA, et al. Inaccurate identification of rotavirus genotype G9 as genotype G3 strains due to primer mismatch. Virol J 2012; 9:144. Doi: 10.1186/1743-422x-9-144 19. Fischer TK, Gentsch JR Rotavirus typing methods and algorithms. Rev Med Virol 2004; 14:71-82. 20. Enweronu-Laryea CC, Sagoe KW, Damanka S, Lartey B, Armah GE Rotavirus genotypes associated with childhood severe acute diarrhoea in Southern Ghana: A cross sectional study. Virology Journal 2013; 10:287 Doi: 10.1186/1743-422x-10-287 Line 166, 167: : [7, 13, 17] accepted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	identification of rotavirus genotype g9 as genotype g3 strains due to primer mismatch. Virol J 2012; 9:144. Doi: 10.1186/1743-422x-9-144 19. Fischer TK, Gentsch JR Rotavirus typing methods and algorithms. Rev Med Virol 2004; 14:71-82. 20. Enweronu-Laryea CC, Sagoe KW, Damanka S, Lartey B, Armah GE Rotavirus genotypes associated with childhood severe acute diarrhoea in Southern Ghana: A cross sectional study. Virology Journal 2013; 10:287 Doi: 10.1186/1743-422x-10-287 - Check the reference 6 (2 years were written) -Put the year in the correct position in the reference (20) as mentioned above - The year was written twice in references (19)	Line 170: [17-19] accepted Line 172: [17, 19] accepted Line 186: [6, 7, 13, 20] accepted Line 207: [18] accepted Line 215: [18] accepted Reference 6: (2013 deleted) Year put in correct position in reference (20): Corrected The year was written once in reference (19): Corrected Others: 1. Authors and affiliations: The second author's name was corrected for spelling and affiliation 2. Other errors identified by authors were corrected. 3. Reference 16 was moved to position 8 and subsequent references were renumbered accordingly including three new ones. 4. Subsections were renumbered to reflect the changes made under materials and methods and results and discussion sections 6. Line 185 – 189 was moved to line 160 for flow. 5. All corrections appear in red in the draft revised manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		