



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_19142
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF METHANOLIC EXTRACT OF HIBISCUS SABDARIFFA LEAVES AND FRUITS
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- For the abstract, you must avoid the sentences cited in the introduction. Although the detailed objective of this study. unveiled the main methods of this study and give some results with numbers. Some key words you mentioned are not present in the abstract. - For the introduction, the lines 26 to 31 do not contain references. You must add the composition of the plants with new references, the origin of antibiotic resistance and on what basis did you choose this plant? - For material and methodes: 1- Give a description of the area of collection of plants 2- How did you identify the plant? 3- What is the weight used during the extraction? Add the reference	Agreed with the reviewer comments and corrected in the revised manuscript. We have been included most appropriate keywords. May be present in the abstract but very suitable for the work done. Reference included as per the reviewer suggestion (Gurim-Fakim, 2006). The plant was selected based on the traditional uses. Area of plant collection was included in the revised manuscript. It is a well-known plant in Malaysia and it was identified by the botanist. Weight of the plant material was included in the Table 1.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	4- No references are cited on the phytochemical tests performed on the extract of the plant !!!! 5- What is the origin of the bacterial strains used in this study? 6- How you were able to identify these bacterial strains? 7- for evaluation of antibacterial activity, what is the medium used ?, how you extract your solubilized? and why you have chosen this line of concentration? With references. - For results and discussion: 1- How did you calculate the extraction yield? With references. 2- for a zone of inhibition of 6mm, is there an inhibition. what is the real size of the disk? 3- Total absence of a scientific discussion. no comparison was realized with another study	References were included in the revised manuscript. All the bacterial strains were purchased from Irama Canggih Sdn Bhd Malaysia and confirmed by the microbiologist. Several tests has been conducted (biochemical, morphological, cultural, molecular, physiological) to confirm the organism. Inoculated agar plates were used for antibacterial activity. We chose serial dilution with low concentration with trial and error basis. We are taken 100 g of plant material for extraction. So whatever the quantity of extract that was converted as a %. We chose 5 mm thickness of disk. We found zone of inhibition around 6 mm during our study. Comparison was included in the revised manuscript.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	4- Total absence of conclusion 5- You must add arguments for your paper because three references are very few. Plagiarism Report Plagiarism approximately 7% in 3 Sources • 7% www.ukessays.com • 6% en.wikipedia.org • 3% www.studymode.com	Conclusion was included in the manuscript. Additionally included some references which was appropriate for the present study.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	- You must add new references (see NCBI-PubMed-PMC)	There is no previous studies about this plant. We have included necessary references in the present study.