SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BMRJ_19186**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antibacterial activity of organic and aqueous extracts of Hopea odorata leaves and their total flavonoid content.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Some modifications could be done: - First in the paper more recent articles should be cited, the majority had more than ten years. - Should be noted: there are other compounds in the extract that may have an antimicrobial effect. - The correct name of the species in all the paper.	Some references corrected and added recent paper reference. Of course. But our concern was in the flavonoid content. We wanted to prove that more flavonoid content responsible for good antibacterial activity. Correction is done. **(marked with yellow color)**
Optional/General comments		