



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_19186
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of organic and aqueous extracts of Hopea odorata leaves and their total flavonoid content.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please, correct "gram" to "Gram" at line 9 and at line 45, "Phytochemistry". It should be interesting to determine MIC values using dilution technique. These bacterial strains are clinical isolates ? Is there any information about these flavonoids present in <i>Hopea odorata</i> ?	Correction is done as mentioned. It is not possible to perform MIC in our university. Facilities are not available. Yes, they are clinically isolated. Other Hopea species, like <i>Hopea ponga</i> (Dennst.) Contain very good flavonoid. (marked with yellow color)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		