



SDI Review Form 1.6

I

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_19818
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural Isolation and PCR Based Assay for Detection of flaA gene of Campylobacter jejuni from Acute Diarrheic Patients in Tertiary Care Hospital at Dhaka, Bangladesh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<i>Campylobacter spp</i> is written in line 95. However, <i>C. jejuni</i> in line 97 was indicated. In figure 1 <i>Campylobacter spp</i> is indicated 2 times in the legend of the figure In line 129, In Bangladesh, Haq and Rahman[27] reported. The reference number 27 is already written so there will be no need to write 27 again In line 136, were female and ratio of male and female was 1.5:1. Is there any significant difference? In line 153 and 154, the sentence must be reformulated A final conclusion must be included and extended before the references part The whole article must be checked for some errors such as: <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> was Was <i>Campylobacter</i> <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> by	The corrected form is highlighted with yellow colour. In figure, <i>Campylobacter spp</i> has been indicated as <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i>. In 129 line reference number has indicated at the end of the line. In line 152 and 153, the male and female raio is not statistically significant – has been mentioned. In line 153 and 154, the sentence has been transferred in line 62 and 63 under materials and method section. Conclusion section has been included before the reference part. As per my notice the spacing between words has been checked out and corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		