



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_20132
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of Marine Actinomycetes with Bioactive potential isolated from sediments of Bay of Bengal and Characterization of promising isolate, ABT-103
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is within the scope of the journal. The work is relevant, original and will contribute to the scientific community. The writing is good, few errors and showing care in the preparation. However, few corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	-Thank you very much for your valuable comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>- Figure 4, line 198: What magnification was utilized?</p> <p>- Lines: 224, 227, 236, 237, 239, 243, 248, 251, 253, 258, 261, 264, 272, 274, 284, 287, 290, 292, 294, 297, 300, 303 and 309: Replace the name of the journal abbreviated by full name of the journal.</p> <p>- Make a review of the entire manuscript, there are several words together, ex., line 40: whichrepresent, line 91: Pseudomonasaeruginosa, etc.</p>	<p>- For figure 4, 400X magnification was used. But, the figure was deleted in the modified manuscript as suggested by the other reviewer.</p> <p>-Agreed with the reviewer and the abbreviations of all journal names were replaced with full names in all the references.</p> <p>-Agreed with the reviewer for several words together. The original manuscript sent previously was thoroughly checked without any mistakes. But, the format might be changed and several words came together due to version difference from system to system. Now, the entire manuscript was corrected and saved in MS-Word 2007 version.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		