



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_21376
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular analysis of Staphylococcus aureus Infections in Trinidad and Tobago
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I could not find the authors' names. Please add.	This can be communicated to you by the Editor if he/she desires to do so. I suppose the editorial team purposely left it out to eliminate bias
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors have to decide what to use within the text: S. aureus or Staph. Aureus. For the abstract I would prefer not to use abbreviations.	The reviewer's suggestion have been taken note of and have been revised accordingly. We thank the reviewer
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very nice and interesting manuscript.	Thank you