



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17483
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial and drug susceptibility profiles of urinary tract infection in Diabetes Mellitus patients at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Topic : Good English: Fair with punctuation errors Abstract: (conclusion not reflecting the summary of results). Results: results should be presented before tables and each table should be on separate page. Discussion: Presentation of results not good enough, authors need to relate their results with present and past knowledge that show similarities, difference and supporting references related to the research. E.g <i>E.coli</i>(50%), and 41.5% and 44.1% quoted values in reference, are not similar as quoted by authors but showing differences. Also, presentation of results in discussion should follow as presented in results and tables to attract readers. Conclusion: This should be summary of research work, no need of citation. References: Some texts are not reference in the body and some are missing in reference page such as illamani 2013, Hoepelman <i>et al</i>, 2003 and Gizachew <i>et al</i>, 2012.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Take note of yellow tinted for spelling errors, correction of statement or contradictory statement. Red highlight are suggested corrections.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work is educative but need some correction introduction, results and reference citation in discussion for the work to contribute to knowledge.	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akobi Oliver Adeyemi
Department, University & Country	Medical Microbiology Dept, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria