SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BMRJ_18922**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Rare codons optimizer host strain of E. coli improves expression of Clostridium septicum alpha toxin gene**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	According to the General Guideline for Authors: 1. All references should follow the following style: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.1. 2. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [1]. 3. Authors should write conclusions in the manuscript text, as **5. Conclusions**	
Minor REVISION comments	**line 14** is: "..rosetta by.", should be: "..rosetta." **line 17** is: "..of 0.5, 1 and 1.5…", should be: "..of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5…" **line 18** is: "..3-6h..", should be: "..3-6 h.." **line 33** is: "..blackquarter..", should be: "..black quarter.." **line 41** is: "..an infection(6)", should be: "..an infection [6]." **line 42** is: "Alpha toxin (AT), is a cytolytic..", should be: "Alpha toxin is a cytolytic.."- Authors can delete this abbreviation, because it is not used in the manuscript **line 46** is: "..398. (8).", should be: "..398 [8]." **line 64** is: "..Razi institute.", should be: "..Razi Institute." **line 70** is: "..*E. coli*/Top10 Competent cell...", should be: "..*E. coli*/Top10 competent cell.." **line 82** is: "..for 18 h. different..", should be: "..for 18 h. Different.." **line 83** is: "..(0.5, 1 and 1.5mM)..", should be: "..(0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mM).." **line 97** is: "..by the Same..", should be: "..by the same.." **line 101** is: "..(figure 1)..", should be: "..(Fig. 1).." - apply a similar change in lines 102, 104, 105, and 108. **line 104** is: "..4h after induction by o.5 mM IPTG..", should be: "..4 h after induction with 0.5 mM IPTG.." **line 107** is: "..using Sheep..", should be: "..using sheep.." **line 113** is: "..Clostridial..", should be: "..clostridial.." **lines 120** is: ".activation also..", should be: "The activation also.." **line 121** is: "..in vitro..", should be: "..*in vitro*.." **line 132** is: "..Chloramphenicol..", should be: "..chloramphenicol.." **line 137** is: "..30 minutes..", should be: "..30 min.."	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	line 138 is: "..revealed that and different..", should be: "..revealed that different.." **line 143** is: "..Clostridial..", should be: "..clostridial.." **line 152** is: "Fig. 2. agarose..", should be: "Fig. 2. Agarose.." **lines 158, 162, 166** is: "..4h..", should be: "..4 h.." **line 161** is: "..Effects of IPTG concentration..", should be: "..Effect of IPTG concentrations.." **line 163** is: "..with 0.5, 1 and 1.5 mM..", should be: "..with 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mM.." **line 167** is: "..0.5mM..", should be: "..0.5 mM.." **line 171** is: "7. References", should be: "References"	
Optional/General comments	The title of the manuscript describes its content.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dorota Wojnicz**
Department, University & Country	**Department Of Biology And Medical Parasitology, Wroclaw Medical University, Poland**