



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_21376
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular analysis of Staphylococcus aureus Infections in Trinidad and Tobago
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have analysed the diversity of S.aureus clonal complexes in their country. The findings of this study will help the local community.</p> <p>The whole work was designed well and executed through standard procedures.</p> <p>The manuscript was written in a scientifically sound manner.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>S.aureus was mentioned as Staph.aureus in few places that has to be followed similarly with others. Ex. In abstract- Result. 3rd line, line-61</p> <p>Introduction: Lines from 26 to 39 should be included in the discussion part and not there, as this disucsses the earlier studies done in their country.</p> <p>Line 48: rewrite as: to investigate the characteristics (delete many)</p> <p>Table 1 & 2: first heading rows to be bolded.</p> <p>Table 1: Column 1 Age group- write as Age group (in years), N (%), Hospital associated N(%), Community associated N(%), p value (%)</p> <p>Same changes for table 2</p> <p>Table 3: N(%)</p> <p>Line 227: skin or mucous</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript was written in scientifically sound manner and has good background work done.	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamudha Parveen Rahamathulla
Department, University & Country	Department of Medical Lab Sciences, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia