



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_21731
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL PROPERTIES OF SNAIL MUCUS ON BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM PATIENTS WITH WOUND INFECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The reported study is good enough. But I think will be more rich if some pictures can be included	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Margarita Teutli León
Department, University & Country	Engineering Department, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico