SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BMRJ_18103**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Phytochemical study and antimicrobial activity of bark extracts of Ceiba pentandra (L.) Gaertn. (Bombacaceae) from Côte d'Ivoire on resistant bacteria Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Poor English throughout. Also many typographical errors.** **Change the title to "Phytochemical study … on drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus and …"** **Lines 6-7: Among there solution tracks – privileged. (Correct the sentence)** **Lines 9-10: (Change to .. methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, and imipenem and ceftazidime resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa)** **Line 13: (Change "extracted" to "extract". (Change "studies germs" to studied bacteria)** **Change CMI and CMB to MIC and MBC throughout the manuscript.** **Abbreviations should be avoided in the Abstract.** **Lines 31-32: What does it mean? Improve sentence.** **Line 36: (Change .. is use to .. "..is used to..")** **Line 38: Change to Adebayo-Tayo et al [9]** **Line 60: Change "Referenced" to "Reference"** **Line 63: Delete "in an oven", and change "young colonies" to "fresh colonies"** **Lines 65-77: Improve/correct sentences.** **Line 89: Delete "micro"** **Line 101-102: Add *et al* after author's name** **Line 115: "CMI's one are transplanted.. " ????** **Line 117: "germs": This term is not scientific. Also used in lines 127, 137, 157. Sentences need to be corrected as well.** **Line 155: Change CMB/CMI to MBC/MIC. Similar change need to be done in Table 2.**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 156: Change "bactericide" to "bactericidal". **Sentences following Table need improvement.** **Table 3: Change terms in French to English.** **Line 184: Change "studies" to "studied".** **Lines 199-110: Is the definition for MIC correct? At higher concentrations of the extract clearing will be evident. If serial dilution was used MIC will be the concentration in the last clear tube. I am not sure of the details of the method. You may want to explain the method of Moroh [14]. Also, change Moroh to Moroh et al.**	
Minor REVISION comments	---	
Optional/General comments	---	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Harry Hariharan**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Public Health, St. George's University, Grenada**