SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BMRJ_15696**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECT OF CONVERGENT RAYS ON COLIFORM AND TOTAL CULTURABLE HETEROTROPHIC BACTERIA IN WATER**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 10: The abstract should be structured (objective, design, materials and methods, result down to conclusion)** **Line 16: There should be space between the 2 and hour. Also correct it to 2 hours since its plural.** **Line 17: There should also be space between 4 and litre. Also correct 8 hour to 8 hours.** **Line 19: Correct to 8 hours since its plural.** **Line 22: Again, it should be 8 hours not hour. Take note for all mistakes similar to this one.** **Line 26: Key words; too long (It should not more than 6) and on same line 26).** **Line 34: Use Diarrhea not 'diarrheal'. Check reference pattern of journal -Numbers in square brackets [ ].** **Line 38: Coliform counts not 'coliforms counts'.** **Line 40: You could say; "Underground water is believed to be the purest <u>source of water</u> known..." Also there should be a comma before the 'however' and after it. No semicolon e.g ,however,** **Line 48/49: This sentence is ambiguous- "It was**	

	observed that with 95 min exposure to sunlight Beirut…..” Are you referring to the city of Beirut where the experiment was conducted or what? So rephrase the sentence. **Line 51: There should be a comma between ‘intensity’ and ‘season’.** **Line 69: There should be space between 8 and hours. Take note for all other mistakes similar to this one.** **Line 72: There should be space between heterotrophic and bacteria.** **Line 73: ‘Bacterial plate counts’ is more appropriate here.** **Line 74/75: Correct the sentence thus; “…which were then pipetted unto…”** **Note: in Figs 1,2 and 3-base line axis; Time of exposure (hrs).** **Line 168: Correct ‘increased’ to increase.** **Line 171: There should be space between 0.9- and** **Line 178: Correct ‘*vibro*’ to *vibrio.*** **Line 185: There should be hyphen in between the ‘non feacal’ i.e non-feacal.** **Line 186: Correct sentence thus- “…found in vegetation and soil which may serve as a source…”** **Line 195: Do you mean ‘corresponded’? This makes more sense than ‘responded’. Continue the sentence- with a decrease in population with increase in exposure time.**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Note: In Reference section- check journal's method.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ohanu M. E**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus, Nigeria**