



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_15275
Title of the Manuscript:	Potentiality of Marine Streptomyces sp. AIAH-10 against Ciprofloxacin and Azithromycin Induced Resistant Escherichia coli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Introduction- "yet have not any published data concerning drug resistant against them"...justify your statement? Line 41 2. Line 61...which media for subculturing?? 3. For antimicrobial activity why you used nutrient agar??....justify?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. "present study was designed to isolate 29 marine Actinomycetes strain"...how you can design particularly to get 29 strains?? 2. Remove "Novel molecules".....use compounds 3. Line 51- overnight 4. Line 57- normal volume is 0.1ml ...why you used 80µl? 5. Line 73- incubation temperature for actinomycetes is 28°C 6. MATERIAL N METHOS- provide references for Fermentation process(2.5)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Make heading more clear 2. Remove ” Regarding this mind” (abstract) 3. Line 118- “The plates were prepared at 4℃ for 4 hour”.Prepared??? 4. Figures for antimicrobial activity	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India