



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_17225
Title of the Manuscript:	Sequences variations of Human Papillomavirus type 16 E6 and E7 genes in cervical cancer isolates from Gabon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Subject under study: Important, novel epidemiological, HPV 16 genetic study of cervical cancer patients in Gabon. The scientific method is sound, interpretation & discussion are fine. However, proper English language editing is required throughout the manuscript (see below). Overall quality of manuscript: suboptimal. Recommend a scientific writer to review and edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure and word choice.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	ABSTRACT OF MANUSCRIPT (SUMMARIZED BY REVIEWER) 1. Objective: Determine sequence variations of HPV 16 E6, E7 in cervical cancer isolates from Gabon. 2. Design: Cross-sectional. Comparison of HPV E6, E7 sequences found in CX CA cases from Gabon versus previously reported HPV16 sequences. 3. Data collection: DNA sequencing 4. Data analysis: Phylogenetic tree analysis using	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Maximum Likelihood with MEGA 6 package. 5. Data interpretation: sound interpretation of results Overall quality of manuscript: Scientific method is sound; however, manuscript is suboptimal due to improper English language.	
--	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jane Shen-Gunther
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Clinical Investigation, Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, USA