SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BMRJ_16457**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Clinical Characteristics, Antibiotic Resistance and Molecular Epidemiology of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in South Taiwan**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Typography and grammar: In ABSTRACT, "Conclusion: .. MRSA plusotypes" and "PFGE characterization of MRSA were" are to be revised. In text, "The order of number/proportion of specimen sources was pus>respiratory tract>blood>urine>others ...MRSA isolated was internal medicine=ICU>surgery>pediatrics> gynecology" may be re-written without using mathematical signs, > and =. In the legend of Fig. 1, "plusotypes" is to be revised. **In Discussion, "The possible reasons of gender differences in MRSA and MSSA occurrence might be due to that heavier physical loading occupations or behavior which males more often perform than females." is misleading. Did males undergo antimicrobial pressure that did females?** **" This may be that ICU patients are usually with chronic illness and the frequent use of invasive indwelling devices in ICU... implicating the possible association between MRSA isolates and respiratory system." should be considered on the condition that contact prevention measures, such as closed aspiration system for tracheal tubes, were employed in ICU.** **In conclusion, "This study offers essential information of MRSA typing to aid understanding molecular epidemiology and selection of antimicrobial agents of MRSA and can be utilized in infection control and antimicrobial stewardship in Taiwan." lacks evidence because the word "stewardship" appears herewith first. The conclusion should be concordant with that in ABSTRACT.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Japan**