SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_CA_5783**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of paravalvular leak incidence post procedure, six months and one-year following TAVI**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This review manuscript is very interesting, giving the readers not only the potential risk of PVR after TAVI but the relationship between PVR and the mortality after TAVI.** **It could contribute to develop a more refined artificial valve.**	
Minor REVISION comments	What's MCV in Line 149? What's PAR in line 150?	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer