



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_CA_10483 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Early or selective invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome according to the risk factors at presentation? An outcome study |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <p>The sample size of the study is small. So, please provide formula for calculation of the sample size.</p> <p>Column with data for all group patients are required to add in the all Tables.</p> <p>Reclassification with IDN may be considered as optimal method for predictive value of MACE and other events. Please, elaborate</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We have not calculated the sample size in advance - This has been done - We do not understand what this reviewer means by reclassification with IDN |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Please clarify the ethical issue if any | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We have done this |