



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_CA_10483
Title of the Manuscript:	Early or selective invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome according to the risk factors at presentation? An outcome study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In randomised study the baseline characteristics should be similar between both groups. In table one there was deference in dyslipidemia and in family history. In table two there was deference in troponin levels and in TIMI risk score. The data do not support a randomization test. That always makes the conclusion difficult to state.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This study is not randomized and that is why there are some differences (although few) in the baseline characteristics in the study groups. The enrolment in each arm was not based on randomization, but more on a geographical basis and according to the current situation in our country. We have tried to explain this in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In table one dyslipidemia is misspelled	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That was corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	none	