



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_CA_10845
Title of the Manuscript:	Imaging of congenital subclavian artery to subclavian vein fistula in a newborn
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	What type of echocardiography was done Rewrite your key words An arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is an abnormal connection between an artery and a vein results in shunting of blood between the two bypassing the high-resistance capillary system which may be congenital or acquired Change to An arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is an abnormal connection between an artery and a vein which results in shunting of blood between the two vessels bypassing the high-resistance capillary system .This anomaly may be congenital or acquired The author should at least write on this congenital Av fistulae. A paragraph will suffice Author should state while this case is being reported Author should endeavour not to quote the references cited verbatim A three weeks old male was referred to our hospital with the diagnosis of subclavian artery to subclavian vein fistula.ed to	2 -Dimensional Echocardiography Keywords were rewritten. The phrase was corrected. The Requested paragraph was added together with a reference but unfortunately old reference.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Should be changed to A three week old male who was referred to our hospital with the diagnosis of subclavian artery to subclavian vein fistula. He was born with a spontaneous vaginal delivery prematurely at 34 weeks gestation of single pregnancy with a birth weight of 1.8 kg. His APGAR score was 6 and 8 at 1 and 5 minutes respectively. Should be changed to He was born delivered via a spontaneous vertex at 34 weeks gestation with a birth weight of 1.8 kg. APGAR at 1 and 5 minutes were 6 and 8 respectively. Author should rewrite the first paragraph of the case report in its whole entirety. The history is not flowing. Symptomatology and timing is prime in any history taking The history is too long and should be summarized. This is a case report! There is a big disconnect between the discussion and Author's index case. Author should please relate patients finding in his discussion	The needed correction was done. The needed correction was done The first paragraph was rewritten according to the reviewer advice. History was shortened according to the advice. The discussion was being connected to case
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion is too long and vague Line 166-204 is unnecessary. Author should rather discuss the differentials in discussion section. A paragraph will do	Conclusion was modified and to the point. This is just a summary of the case. it can be omitted if the editors advised that
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	References did not follow Vancouver	References were checked according to Vancouver Style