



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_CA_8482
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of arterial pulse amplitude ratio and Valsalva ratio in different age groups
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The English are not in a satisfactory level. The study population was very small and the group that is described as old, is comprised of very young people (40-55 years). Maybe the population of this study should be used as the controls in a new study with patients with pulmonary hypertension, for example.	Thanks a lot for your scientific notes, i am working hardly to improve my language, i rewrite the manuscript again to be in accepted level.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		