



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_CA_8482
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of arterial pulse amplitude ratio and Valsalva ratio in different age groups

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. The part Results: The units of RR interval length should be properly corrected in ms or seconds (I suggest that given RR interval in this manuscript expressed in ms (e.g. 0.64 ms) should be in seconds (0.64 s), not milliseconds) 2. Table 1 Significance should be expressed as $p < 0.05$ (according to statistical analysis), not $p = 0.05$. Please correct it 3. Formal point of References should be corrected according to the Instructions for authors (e.g. abbreviations of journals)	Thanks a lot for this important note about the unit of R-R interval, but i changed it according to the instruction of reviewer no-1 who wrote in previous revision in part-3-Results:(RR intervals should be expressed in ms). But i agree with you it is more suitable to write the unit as second, because every 25 small square=(1 s) in ECG which mean 1 small square=0.04 s.(i highlighted the correction with yellow colour). 2-Yes, the significance was expressed previously as $p < 0.05$, but according to the <u>Guideline for Reporting P values</u> that found in the template which was sent to me and said that: i) Correct expression: ($P = .05$). Wrong Expression: ($P < .05$), unless $P < .001$. So according to these instructions i changed the manuscript . 3- I worked hardly in order to get good arrangement of the references, i entered on the (Link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals) as the name abbreviations should be those found in the NCBI databases but I do not find many of abbreviations for names of the journals that were used in this manuscript. I will make the suitable correction with my deepest thanks and appreciation for your valuable advice.