

Reviewer's Comment:-

I read the revised manuscript, and was disappointed. Still there is misinterpretation of data and manipulation.

Author's Feedback:-

Below find my comments from your first review and some additional information, which I also added to the manuscript, which I addressed. From your comments since then I am not clear what you expect me to address in addition to what I have already done. If you can be more specific I can do this.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study was carried out to assess a cardiac rehabilitation program using the Borg RPE scale. The result was that enrolled 15 patients showed significantly improved 6 minute walk test. Let me congratulate their continuing efforts to improve the patients' status. In the abstract and conclusion, the authors described "improved heart function", however, no data related heart function are mentioned. As far as I know there have been no reports which showed improved heart function by cardiac rehabilitation. This study may be the first article.	The reviewer is correct – we took some liberty here – and have changed "heart function" to exercise capacity measured as 6MWT and thus reducing possible risk of future adverse cardiac events" April 2014. There is only one place L191 where heart function is mentioned and this is in the discussion where I suggest that an improvement in 6MWT MAY IMPROVE HEART FUNCTION. I do not claim this and it is a conjecture which should be allowed in a discussion as previous research as used the peak VO2 as a heart function test and peak VO2 is correlated with 6MWT. This latter point is also mentioned in the manuscript. We took results on the 6MWT as an indication of improved heart function. However we have rephrased this section. NOTE: we state that we did not carry out direct heart function tests. That is why we reworded the manuscript as suggested to exercise capacity, which can be measured by 6MWT See above comment. This is

	The authors are encouraged to revise the manuscript to add the data of heart function, and discussion.	not possible as we did not measure heart function as now clearly indicated in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	*comment received as separate word files*	