



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2014_CA_12229</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Changes of pre ejection period and left ventricular ejection time during head up tilt</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The article is not novel, since a lot has been studied especially about the variation of Ejection Time in patients with syncope and in control groups. One of those manuscripts is</b></p> <p><b>“Assessment of Systolic Ejection Time as a Hemodynamic Marker of Incipient Bradycardic Vasovagal Syncope. A Pilot Study”</b></p> <p><b>By Fucà et al, PACE 2011; 34:954–962. The references of the same article are also relevant source of information.</b></p> <p><b>The authors should rewrite the manuscript in accordance with the scientific knowledge in this field and appropriately reposition what they think is novel.</b></p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**