



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_CA_10483
Title of the Manuscript:	Early or selective invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome according to the risk factors at presentation? An outcome study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- An English revision should be managed in order to correct typos.</li> <li>- The number of enrolled subject is too small and underpowered for the purposes of the research.</li> <li>- I do not agree with the sentences at page 3 lines 76-83 about the enrolment of the patients in each arm. The authors seemed not to follow international guidelines about the final intervention of the NSTEMI patients. Nevertheless, later in the text, the authors wrote about GRACE score. Thus, the authors should better describe the enrolment rules according to international guidelines about this matter and the division of patients in interventional groups.</li> <li>- The authors should describe the kind of stent adopted, the pharmacological treatment adopted after the stent implantation. These data should be included in a multivariate regression analysis protocol in order to evaluate confounding factors in the obtaining of final results.</li> </ul>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>No multivariate regression analysis has been performed.</b></li> </ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In table 1, the term “Dyslipidemy” should be revised to “dyslipidaemic”. Please provide.</li> <li>- In the tables, all acronyms should be expressed in order to make them clearer.</li> <li>- All the characteristics of the population should be described. The authors should “define” all the cardiovascular risk factors of the patients in agreement with current international guidelines.</li> </ul>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**