SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_CA_8482**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of arterial pulse amplitude ratio and Valsalva ratio in different age groups**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The paper is poorly written. Extensive grammar errors existed which makes this paper difficult to read.** **2. The paper adds little knowledge to the field.** **3. The hypothesis and main conclusion of this paper are unclear. It is hard to catch what issue that the authors are trying to address.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**1. The sample size is too small.**	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer