



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_CA_8936
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of a cardiac rehabilitation program based on the Borg RPE Scale and six-minute walk test

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
None of the explanations of the author regarding to my previous comments are not sufficient. Still sample size is too small to reach a conclusion. Author said that 'It is not the essence of how much improvement or whether it reaches 10%, 50% or 100% that makes a study meaningful.' I agree with you, but both of us know that control group makes a study meaningful and your study has no control group. In addition, improvement in your study was too low. As I said previously, approximately all of the previous studies reported that even home walking programs improve exercise capacity more than your results in healthy people and also in patients with cardiovascular diseases. To be able to say exercise regimen based on the Borg RPE scale improves functional capacity, data must be compared with the functional capacity of patients who are under home walking program only. I am sorry, but I can not be satisfied about this study. As I said before, whole structure of the study is wrong.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer