



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_CA_18422
Title of the Manuscript:	Infantile cardiac rhabdomyoma-Pearls inside the cardia
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	What is the purpose of this paper? No message to the readers. All are well known. What will the readers learn when read this paper.	It is well documented that cardiac rhabdomyomas regress over a period of months to years and in general, a conservative strategy is adopted. In this case, the size of the RV mass and close proximity to RVOT suggested that conservative management would be inappropriate .Early surgical intervention was therefore felt to be indicated in this particular case.The message is to emphasise the need for a case based individual strategy to be employed when dealing with such tumors.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		