



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_CA_18422
Title of the Manuscript:	Infantile cardiac rhabdomyoma-Pearls inside the cardia
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

ofocona

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Report of neonatal cardiac tumors with prior intrauterine diagnosis is always relevant. 1. The text is very poorly written (excessive spacing errors between words, very succinct and with a big "imbalance." In other words, despite the succinctness there is more literature review than discussion peculiarities of the case. 2. The title surgery the tumor is multinodular ("Pearls") and it is only two tumor masses. In addition, "cardia is more related as an opening that connects the esophagus and the upper part of the stomach. 3. The introduction failed to present clearly the rationale of the case report. 4. The description of the surgery is inadequate, and the copy I received thought the photo quality could be improved.	1.Errors have been edited sir 2.The term pearl was used due to its pikinsh white gross appearance.The term cardia has been changed. 3.Introduction has been modified and states the need to interveine in an asymptomatic ptaient with cardiac rhabdomyomas. 4.The child underwent a right ventriculotomy approach under cardiopulmonary bypass for surgical excision of the masses.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	5. The discussion contains only one sentence about the reported case ("Our patient underwent surgery due to its location in the RV outflow tract.") 6. References need to be standardized formatting.	5.Our patient underwent surgery empirically due to its location near the RV outflow tract and massive size of the tumor occupying almost the entire RV cavity. Because rhabdomyomas are known to undergo spontaneous regression, general agreement exists that indication for surgical treatment should be individualized and considered only in the presence of significant hemodynamic obstruction to the ventricular inflow or the outflow tract 6.References has been edited sir.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		