



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_19731
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Factors associated with Atrial Fibrillation in Congestive Heart Failure patients admitted at the University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

The article looks at the clinical factors associated with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT THIS ARTICLE. I understand patients had to test positive for CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
Compulsory_REVISION comments The article looks at the clinical factors associated with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT THIS ARTICLE. I understand patients had to test positive for CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.			correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
The article looks at the clinical factors associated with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT THIS ARTICLE. I understand patients had to test positive for CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.			the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
The article looks at the clinical factors associated with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT THIS ARTICLE. I understand patients had to test positive for CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.			should write his/her feedback here)
with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT THIS ARTICLE. • I understand patients had to test positive for CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. • Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract • Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. • Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work • In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. • In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.	<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples.		with AF in CHF px admitted at the UTH of Zambia. I HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS TO MAKE ABOUT	
appears that AF predicates CHF which is a contradiction to the earlier stated points, see my comments above.		 CHF before being screened for AF, if that's the case then the methodology in the abstract should indicate that. Point out some of the clinical factors that the study analyses in the abstract Keywords should not be contained in the title of the article. Remove Lusaka Zambia from the key words as this does not academically and scientifically assist in the classification of your work In line 43, its well stated that CHF predicts AF, which justifies that px had to be tested for CHF first, hence consider your methodology in he abstract. In your introduction you must define the clinical factors in line with your study and provide examples. Looking at your conceptual framework, it appears that AF predicates CHF which is a contradiction to the earlier stated points, see my 	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

www.sciencedomain.org



Review Form 1.6	that you claim to have been done. This will justify the claim. Ref for lines 62-65, I believe these are not your postulates someone must have documented them. So provide the citation(s) The sentence in line 66, it wasshould be removed as it does not form part of the problem Defining the clinical factors is essential as it will clearly make the problem less ambiguous to a person not in the medical field. In section 1.5, this section is totally lacking in information. The authors should clearly state what was done at the different levels of statistical data analysis, models employed and their definitions or how they measure the factors to be considered. I expect the see how the BMI was computed in the methodology section. In line 138, he authors write that all significant factors in the univariate logistic regression were considered for entry into the multivariate logistic, I have a big problem here, did they consider the individual effect of a factor on the out? I believe this necessitates the BIVARIATE analysis. Cross tabulations could be handy in this particular case. Otherwise, some important variables may have been excluded which has an overall effect on the authenticity of the reported	
	 overall effect on the authenticity of the reported results or study. The interpretation of the results from the binary logistic and Multivariate logistic is TOTALLY WRONG. 	





SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
	 Line 109,admitted the UHT, mind the 	
	grammar!	
	 Line 110equal number men and women, 	
	grammar!	
	Remove boundaries on the pie-chart	
	 Line 124modality utilised, check spelling 	
Optional/General comments		
	 I COULD NOT PROCEED TO THE DISCUSSION 	
	WHEN THE WRONG INTERPRETATION OF THE	
	MODELS IS PRESENTED.	
	THE AUTHPORS MUST READ ON HOW RESULTS	
	IN THE LOGISTIC MODELS ARE INTERPRETED	
	 DATA ANALYSIS MUST ME REDONE AND THEY 	
	MUST USE PROPER STATISTICAL PROCEDURES	
	FOR VARIABLE ELIMINATION.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cliff Richard Kikawa
Department, University & Country	Tshwane University of Technology, South Africa