



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2014_EJMP_12015
Title of the Manuscript:	A SCIENTIFIC UPDATE ON PASSIFLORA FOETIDA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper needed little review in orthography and a revision by linguist English. I'm forwarding the original paper with highlights with comments for correction. The authors needed see the General Guideline for Authors. I forwarding in attach in pdf file and the authors can access in : http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=general-guideline-for-authors . The authors needed review all references . References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text.	The manuscript has been rechecked for English language with some modification in sentences. We have rearranged all references and numbered in the order they appeared
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		