



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2014_EJMP_12015
Title of the Manuscript:	A SCIENTIFIC UPDATE ON PASSIFLORA FOETIDA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have to say that there is a lack of novelty here. In the past two years, at least to review regarding <i>Passiflora foetida</i> were published: - <i>PASSIFLORA FOETIDA</i> LINN: A COMPLETE MORPHOLOGICAL AND PHYTOPHARMACOLOGICAL REVIEW Int J Pharm Bio Sci 2013 Jan; 4(1): (P) 285 – 296 - Recent updates on the genus <i>Passiflora</i>: A review. Int. J. Res. Phytochem. Pharmacol. 2011, 1(1), 1-16.	We have included two references as mentioned by reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		