



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2014_EJMP_12015
Title of the Manuscript:	A SCIENTIFIC UPDATE ON PASSIFLORA FOETIDA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript - A scientific update on Passiflora foetida The minireview about Passiflora foetida is interesting; however the text must be rewrite, because there are many errors, including the citation of references. The first reference must be added in text as number [1]. There are also errors in describe the phytochemical analysis. Apigenin, luteolin, kaempferol are not C-glycosyl flavonoids.	We have rewritten the manuscript with minor changes as mention by reviewer. We have corrected the citation of references. We have corrected phytochemical analysis paragraph as mentioned
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		