



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2013_EJMP_6926
Title of the Manuscript:	Kaurenoic acid isolated from the root bark of <i>Annona senegalensis</i> induces cytotoxic and antiproliferative effects against PANC-1 and HeLa cells
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment The paper is well written and discussed, being a preliminary work concerning cell lines assays. I accept the manuscript as it is.	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Improve the quality of Figure 5.	The authors do appreciate the valuable comments of the reviewer. As advised by this reviewer, the Fig. 5 has been revised and it's now Fig. 4. The initial Fig. 4 has been deleted because the data it contained have been displayed as bar charts in Figs. 1-3.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		