



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_EJMP_7764
Title of the Manuscript:	The Story of Beta-sitosterol - A review
Type of the Article	Review

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors of manuscript « The story of beta-sitosterol, a review » should add three section to complete their review : 4.12 anti-oxidant effects 4.13 neuroprotection and 4.14 anti-diabetic effects.</p> <p>Section 4.10 should include McNichols &amp; Kirby, 2011 Clin. Evid.</p> <p>Section 4.2 should analyze more literature and difference in cell lines.</p> <p>Line 212-215: Literature is ambivalent on the use of phytoestrogens in breast cancer. I strongly suggest to examine more literature and to temper the phrase.</p> <p>I also suggest reporting recent literature regarding section 4.4 hypocholesterolemic activity.</p> <p>Table 3 : again, complete the table with data on anti-oxidant activity.</p>	<p>All the corrections highlighted in the text and also all of them mentioned here. Due to addition of some requested field in the text the line number differed in comparison to the previous version of manuscript.</p> <p>The article “McNichols &amp; Kirby, 2011 Clin. Evid.” Have not found. Please give more information about it.</p> <p>More references reviewed about the beneficial effect of phytosterols which are different from phytoestrogens.</p> <p>4.12. Anti-oxidant effects, 4.13. neuroprotection and 4.14 anti-diabetic effects of the compound were added to the manuscript.</p> <p>Recent literature was added to the section of 4.4.</p> <p>More literature analyzed and cited for the 4.2 part in the manuscript.</p> <p>8. Phytosterol containing herbal medicines and drugs and table 2 was missed in this manuscript so we have added it in the reviewed version.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	Line 324: non instead on none	Conclusion first line: none replaced with non.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Nice review that must be improved with more data.	