



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2014_EJMP_12015
Title of the Manuscript:	A SCIENTIFIC UPDATE ON PASSIFLORA FOETIDA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This system review concludes the pharmacological importance of <i>P. foetida</i> which will assist researcher to identify the viable bioactive compounds. <i>P. foetida</i>, distribution around the globe, medicinal uses, habitat, morphology, phytochemical are discussed that would be benefited for other research design. In general it is interesting; however, there are some points on text management and figures display. Major comments: 1. "8. Native distribution" and "9. Modern distribution" should be peer to "6. <i>P. foetida</i> in India" and "7. <i>P. foetida</i> in foreign countries". 2. Tab. 2 should be changed into three-line lattice. 3. Fig.2 should be re-organized. 4. For "12. Morphology" section, the authors should be expressed combining with Figures. 5. More description related to the medicinal uses of <i>P. foetida</i> should be included.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	competing interest - YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Jilin University, China