



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_EJMP_11250
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stability of Active Constituents of Hops (<i>Humulus lupulus</i>) Strobiles and their Ethanolic Extracts during Storage</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The Aims section must be written more clear.</p> <p>Throuout the paper the authors use the words alpha acids and beta acids. This should be changed to Humulones and lupulones. Alpha and beta acids are words that are to general and do not indicate what type of acids the paper deals with.</p> <p>The firsrt paragraph in the abstract under Results should be made more clear and consise.</p> <p>Change the keywords.</p> <p>Detials regarding companies are given differently. Should all be in the same style.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Go carefully through the whole text so that the meaning comes over easily to the reader.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**