



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	2013_EJMP_6926
Title of the Manuscript:	Kaurenoic acid isolated from the root bark of <i>Annona senegalensis</i> induces cytotoxic and antiproliferative effects against PANC-1 and HeLa cells
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The findings in this manuscript are interesting. The article needs only minor changes:</p> <p>The objectives of the study are really convincing.</p> <p>Materials & Methods: Details were sufficient on the experiments described.</p> <p>Results & discussion: It is better to include additionally a standard group. Figure legends should be more explicative. Example, P-values or confidence intervals could to provide complementary information.</p> <p>Results were conclusive.</p> <p>References cited were adequate.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The present manuscript may be revised and considered for publication.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miriam C. Sosa Sequera
Department, University & Country	Department of Functional Sciences, School of Medicine, Centroccidental Lisandro Alvarado University, Barquisimeto, Venezuela.